Global bond funds have seen a dramatic rise in assets over the past 10 years, reflecting the desire of investors to be exposed to a broader, more diverse set of assets away from their home markets. However, global bond funds still mainly give investors exposure to the largest developed markets, i.e. the G7 plus a few others. This is because most global bond funds benchmark against the Citi WGBI and the Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate indices, which assign only a 2-3% weight to Emerging Markets (EM). In other words, the indices are very poorly matched to the real world both in terms of GDP, where EM countries now comprise nearly 60%, and in terms of market cap, where EM fixed income is now close to 20% of the total global fixed income market.

The good news for investors seeking a better index representation is that a new and more representative index is now available to global bond investors. The JP Morgan GBI-AGG Diversified index, launched last year, offers a far better reflection of the growing importance of EM on the world stage by assigning a 20% weight to EM, which brings it into line with EM’s true share of global fixed income markets. For the first time, investors will gain access to a truly global investment universe. We will show that the new index offers higher total returns for the same volatility compared to conventional global bond indices, higher current income and better diversification than pure G7 rates exposure.

The rise in global bond funds reflects a desire to diversify fixed income investments beyond domestic markets. To this end, the bulk of global bond funds have benchmarked against the Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate (formerly known as the Lehman Global Aggregate) as well as Citi’s World Government Bond Index (see also inset). These indices are used as benchmarks for more than 90% of the funds in Morningstar’s World Bond Fund category.

Existing global bond indices have historically been biased towards developed market economies despite the growth of EM

Outdated global bond indices

Unfortunately, the WGBI and Barclays Agg indices do not offer a good reflection of world GDP nor of global fixed income markets. In particular, the indices only assign a 2-3% weight to EM fixed income assets. This compares to EM’s 58% share of global GDP (in PPP adjusted terms) and EM’s roughly 20% share of global fixed income markets (see Fig 2).

The rise of global bond funds

The global bond funds universe has grown substantially over the past 10 years from less than USD 50bn to more than USD 200bn in the past decade, according to Morningstar (see Fig 1).

Fig 1: Growth of World Bond Fund universe
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Fig 2: Developed economies have three times more debt but less than half of the world’s GDP
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The rise in global bond funds reflects a desire to diversify fixed income investments beyond domestic markets. To this end, the bulk of global bond funds have benchmarked against the Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate (formerly known as the Lehman Global Aggregate) as well as Citi’s World Government Bond Index (see also inset). These indices are used as benchmarks for more than 90% of the funds in Morningstar’s World Bond Fund category.
EM local bond markets have grown significantly since the late 1990s owing to the fact that EM countries have made great strides forward in developing their social security systems, adopting inflation targeting regimes, reducing debt levels and accumulating foreign exchange reserves. Most established EM countries now have large liquid and accessible domestic bond markets with well-developed yield curves with a broad range of maturities. Hence, the historical bias of existing indices towards developed market economies now makes these indices appear obsolete. This can be seen in the chart below, which shows the 30 largest issuers in the world in GDP/debt space. Notice how EM countries generally have larger GDP shares and lower debt levels than developed countries. This feature makes them attractive, particularly since their bonds also generally offer higher yields than developed market bonds.

![Graph showing EM / DM indebtedness and share of global GDP](chart.png)

Source: BIS, WEO.

Adding EM to global bond indices increases the yield and reduces correlation with global rates, while not meaningfully increasing the volatility – an overall powerful value proposition

### A new global bond index

The new JPMorgan GBI-AGG Diversified index rectifies the imbalance

JP Morgan recently introduced a new index called the Global-AGG Diversified benchmark (hereafter: GBI-AGG Div). This index gives investors the benefit of a far more representative global fixed income index with an appropriate share assigned to EM. The index also happens to perform better than the global government bond index market leader.

The GBI-AGG Div index uses a currency-weighted approach which scales country weights with respect to debt stock outstanding, thus capping highly indebted issuers, such as most developed economies. Developed markets still dominate the index on account of their far larger debt loads, but the index significantly reduces the skew towards the most heavily indebted issues relative to the conventional global fixed income benchmarks. The result is that the new index allocates approximately 20% weight to EM local government bonds (see Fig 4):

![Composition of world bond indices by currency](chart2.png)

Source: JPMorgan, Citibank, Bloomberg.

The other main feature of the index is that it constrains weights based on accessibility of local markets, such as the existence of capital controls, constraints on holdings, tradability or FX convertibility. The index thus omits both China and India for now. On the other hand, JPMorgan does not constrain currencies/countries based on credit ratings. The index only includes fixed-rate and zero coupon instruments with a current face amount outstanding of USD 1bn equivalent (onshore) and USD 500m (offshore).

### The prevailing global bond indices and JPMorgan’s new index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covered Asset Classes</th>
<th>World Global Bond Index (Citi WGBI)</th>
<th>Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate Index</th>
<th>JPM Morgan GBI-AGG Diversified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Covered Asset Classes</strong></td>
<td>The World Government Bond Index (WGBI) measures the performance of fixed-rate, local currency, investment grade sovereign bonds. The WGBI provides a broad benchmark for the global sovereign fixed income market.</td>
<td>Barclays Global Aggregate is a multi-currency IG benchmark which includes Treasury, government-related, corporate and securitised fixed rate bonds from both DM and EM issuers.</td>
<td>The JPM GBI-AGG/Div. provides investors with a comprehensive investable benchmark that spans across all liquid and local currency government markets in both developed and EM countries covering both IG and HY issuers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eligible Currencies / Countries</strong></td>
<td>15, of which 5 EM</td>
<td>24, of which 14 EM</td>
<td>38, of which 20 EM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum Credit Quality</strong></td>
<td>Entry: A- by S&amp;P and A3 by Moody’s, for all new markets; Exit: Below BBB- by S&amp;P and below Baa3 by Moody’s</td>
<td>Securities must be rated IG using the middle rating of Moody’s, S&amp;P and Fitch.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Citi, Bloomberg, JPMorgan – June 2017.
The EM allocation of the GBI-AGG Div Index mirrors the composition of the pre-existing EM-only indices, such as the JP Morgan GBI EM Broad index. The GBI-EM Broad index has a market cap of close to USD 1trn across 16 countries, which between them have issued a total of USD 2.8trn in local debt outstanding. The total EM local currency bond universe is about USD 7.0trn with more than half readily accessible.

**Fig 5:** EM portion is a mirror image in JPMorgan’s GBI-EM Broad and GBI-AGG Div indices

Adding EM to a global benchmark has a host of benefits

The addition of more EM exposure to conventional global benchmarks has a number of benefits including: (a) higher yield; (b) lower volatility to and (c) lower correlation with developed market rates. We demonstrate these effects in the following sections.

**a) Adding EM increases the yield**

Years of quantitative easing (QE) by developed world central banks have driven yields in the US, UK, Japan and the Eurozone to all-time lows. A good portion of government debt in these markets now trades with negative yields in real terms. By contrast, EM countries have not engaged in QE and yields are significantly higher as a result, this is shown in Fig 6 below.

**Fig 6:** EM yields more attractive than DM yields

Even factoring in higher inflation in EM countries, EM bond yields are substantially higher in real terms (see Fig 7).

**Fig 7:** EM real yields are much more attractive than US real yields 5-year bonds deflated by CPI

The higher yield in turn leads to a sizeable income differential over time as illustrated in Figures 9 and 10 below. The higher income stream also provides a buffer against volatility as drawdowns can be recouped more quickly.

**JP Morgan’s new GBI-AGG Diversified index more accurately reflects the composition of the global fixed income universe**

The higher yield in turn leads to a sizeable income differential over time as illustrated in Figures 9 and 10 below. The higher income stream also provides a buffer against volatility as drawdowns can be recouped more quickly.

**Fig 8:** Current index yield comparison for World bond indices

Given these yield differentials, the immediate benefit of a greater EM allocation is to raise the overall level of yield in the index. The JPMorgan GBI-AGG Diversified delivered a favourable yield differential of approximately 50 basis points over the last three years as shown in the table below.

**Fig 9:** GBI-AGG average coupon

Even factoring in higher inflation in EM countries, EM bond yields are substantially higher in real terms (see Fig 7).
b) Adding EM doesn’t increase the volatility

Interestingly, increasing the allocation to EM currencies does not change the volatility of the index significantly over time. This is because as a group EM currencies have been less volatile than some of the major G7 currencies with similar drawdowns. Figure 11 compares the volatility of a selection of developed markets currencies versus that of a basket of EM currencies (including carry). It shows that, since 2003, EM currencies have displayed a lower annualised volatility (6.64%) than the other currencies (all close to 10%).

In terms of drawdowns, the maximum 1-month drawdown since 2003 has been similar in a basket of EM currencies and in the EUR and GBP (around 15% maximum one-month drawdown). This places EM currencies roughly between JPY (-10%) and CAD (-20%) in terms of drawdowns (see Fig 12 below).

c) Adding EM reduces correlation with global rates

EM rates are less correlated to US rates than German and UK rates. This is largely because most EM fixed income markets are poorly represented in global benchmarks. The correlations between the German and UK market with the US markets has been roughly 40% over the past 10 years. They have been consistently above 0.4, whereas EM correlations have averaged roughly 0 with fluctuations between +0.4 and -0.4 (see Fig 13).

Combining these three advantages (higher yield, lower volatility of EM as a basket against the USD and lower correlations to US rates) in the GBI-AGG Div has delivered a higher overall return over time versus Citi WGBI (see Fig 14 below).

Past actual and simulated performance is not a reliable indicator of future returns.

GBI-AGG Div also delivers a superior Sharpe ratio based on back tested data to 2002 (see Fig 15 below).

---

**Fig 10: GBI-AGG income differential**

Source: Ashmore, JPMorgan.

**Fig 11: EM currencies as a group have been less volatile than some USD-DM pairs (return series including carry)**

Source: Ashmore, JPMorgan, Bloomberg.

**Fig 12: Drawdown comparison**

Maximum drawdown (1 month) percent, since April 2003

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Drawdown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EM currencies</td>
<td>-14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>-14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBP</td>
<td>-15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPY</td>
<td>-10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>-20.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Ashmore, JPMorgan, Bloomberg.

---

1 Our reference is JPMorgan’s ELMI+ benchmark of major EM currencies vs. the most relevant USD crosses such as EURUSD, JPYUSD and GBPUSD.
**MARKET COMMENTARY**

**EM today offers a powerful value proposition**

The distortions in global fixed income markets caused by QE in the last few years has now created a powerful value proposition in EM fixed income, which began to translate into positive returns in 2016. The outperformance of EM versus developed markets is likely to be sustained for a number of years, in our view (cf. most recently Ashmore Emerging View, May 2017).

We see the key drivers of returns as the following:

- At 6.3% yield local EM government bonds now offer real yields of about 250bps against a positive backdrop of GBI index-weighted inflation of just 4% for 4.5 years duration government bonds – this is a very high real yield, particularly in the context of waning fundamental stresses.

- EM growth has been accelerating since 2015, but there is a lot more upside given the starting point of the large slowdown in growth between 2010 and 2015. EM’s recovery has so far been led by improving external balances on the back of real exchange rates at 13-year lows, but foreign flows back into EM should ease domestic financial conditions and further stimulate growth in the coming years (cf. Ashmore Emerging View, June 2017).

- Unlike their DM counterparts, many EM countries have undertaken deep structural reforms in recent years, including Colombia, Brazil, Argentina, India, Indonesia, Mexico, Russia and others. Reforms remove obstacles to growth and allow countries to grow faster before running into inflationary constraints.

- Positioning remains light and most foreign investors are heavily underweight. This is reflected in benign price action in response to bad news, which no longer induces large sell-offs. There are simply very few sellers left. As a matter of fact, recent weeks and months have seen positive inflows into the asset class, providing a strong technical bid.

**Conclusion**

EM bonds should occupy a large and growing share of global bond funds. Tactically, EM offers better value than developed markets and adding EM increases income, lowers volatility and reduces exposure to sell-off in the US treasury market. JP Morgan’s new GBI-AGG Diversified index provides a new and welcome addition to the universe of global fixed income indices. The index more accurately reflects the composition of the global fixed income universe.

**Contact**

Head office
Ashmore Investment Management Limited
61 Aldwych, London
WC2B 4AE
T: +44 (0)20 3077 6000
E: @AshmoreEM
W: www.ashmoregroup.com

Bogota
T: +57 1 316 2070

Dubai
T: +971 4 401 195 86

Jakarta
T: +62 21 2953 9000

Mumbai
T: +91 22 6608 0000

New York
T: +1 212 661 0061

Riyadh
T: +966 11 483 9100

Singapore
T: +65 6580 8288

Tokyo
T: +81 03 6860 3777

Other locations

Lima

Shanghai

Bloomberg page
Ashmore <GO>

Fund prices
www.ashmoregroup.com
Bloomberg
FT.com
Reuters
S&P
Lipper

No part of this article may be reproduced in any form, or referred to in any other publication, without the written permission of Ashmore Investment Management Limited © 2017.

**Important information:** This document is issued by Ashmore Investment Management Limited (‘Ashmore’) which is authorised and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority and which is also, registered under the U.S. Investment Advisors Act. The information and any opinions contained in this document have been compiled in good faith, but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to their accuracy, completeness or correctness. Save to the extent (if any) that exclusion of liability is prohibited by any applicable law or regulation, Ashmore and its respective officers, employees, representatives and agents expressly advise that they shall not be liable in any respect whatsoever for any loss or damage, whether direct, indirect, consequential or otherwise however arising (whether in negligence or otherwise) out of or in connection with the contents of or any omissions from this document. This document does not constitute an offer to sell, purchase, subscribe for or otherwise invest in units or shares of any Fund referred to in this document. The value of any investment in any such Fund may fall as well as rise and investors may not get back the amount originally invested. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results. All prospective investors must obtain a copy of the final Scheme Particulars or (if applicable) other offering document relating to the relevant Fund prior to making any decision to invest in any such Fund. This document does not constitute and may not be relied upon as constituting any form of investment advice and prospective investors are advised to ensure that they obtain appropriate independent professional advice before making any investment in any such Fund. Funds are distributed in the United States by Ashmore Investment Management (US) Corporation, a registered broker-dealer and member of FINRA and SIPC.